

The Prefix *Ta-*: From Kambera to Indonesian

Raynesta Mikaela Indri Malo

raynesta@unkriswina.ac.id

Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Kristen Wira Wacana Sumba, INDONESIA

Abstract

*This research explores the borrowing of prefix *ta-* from bahasa Kambera (BK) to bahasa Indonesia (BI). This study is exceptional since the borrowing is from the non-dominant (as donor) language to the dominant language (as recipient) which has never existed before (there is no data of words borrowed from BK to BI). Besides, most borrowing from local languages in Indonesia to BI are in the forms of words, not affixes. This descriptive qualitative study finds that, as its function in BK, the prefix *ta-* also has the same role in BI. It is used to derive the agentless intransitive achievement verbs with no agent. The prefix *ta-* is attached directly to the roots. The roots mostly are transitive and intransitive verbs, as well as adjectives and the derived forms after *ta-* is attached are mostly intransitive verbs and limited adjective (used as modifier in noun phrase). The prefix *ta-* is borrowed through direct borrowing, which relies on the speakers' knowledge. The borrowing occurred in the past when native speakers of Kambera were insisted on using bahasa Indonesia as the formal language. The practical use of the prefix *ta-* could be the most prominent reason for this phenomenon.*

Keywords: *borrowing; direct borrowing; bahasa Kambera; bahasa Indonesia; affix borrowing*

Article information

Received:
24 January
2023

Revised:
24 July 2023

Accepted:
28 July 2023

Introduction

The Kambera language (BK) is the native language of Sumba Timur and is spoken by around 150,000 speakers in (Klamer, 2005) and probably more than three of four hundred thousand speakers to date. Most people living outside the main city, Waingapu, are native speakers of Kambera and use this language in everyday communication. In the city, BK is spoken by native Sumbanese people and

people from outside the area who come and live there. Meanwhile, the Indonesian language (BI) is used in more formal places such as schools and offices, during government ceremonies and intercultural events. It is spoken by more than 90% of the population. However, one can still find some people in the village who do not speak BI for some reason. Besides BK and BI, there are also several local languages used, such as the Sabu language which is spoken by people from Sabu Island,

other local languages of Sumba i.e. Kodi, Wejewa, and Anakalang, as well as local languages from other parts of Indonesia such as some vernaculars from Flores and Timor, Javanese and Balinese.

Suparsa & Arka (2009) states that BI that is used in the regions of Indonesia can be classified as informal, non-standard language. This non-standard Indonesian has a large range of variations. It can be classified into two variations, the colloquial Indonesian which is known through the mass media TV, and non-standard Indonesian which is characterized by many regional features of its speakers, which is influenced by the regional language (Paauw, 2007 as cited in Suparsa & Arka, 2009).

When languages meet and are used by the same speakers, the languages are in contact and most probably will result in borrowing of words or other linguistic units. Thomason (2001) states that language contact is when there is more than one language used in the same place at the same time. The bilinguals will alternately use their languages according to the situation. For example, the native speakers of BK must study and use BI in formal occasions (at schools or offices). At one time, the speakers might be using both languages in their speech. In such cases, bilingualism occurs.

Thomason (2001) classified bilingualism into two types, symmetrical and asymmetrical bilingualism. The first happens when the speakers of language A speak a certain amount of language B, and vice versa the speakers of language B speak the same amount of language A. The latter, the asymmetrical bilingualism is what happens in Sumba Timur where native speakers of BK are much more likely to be bilingual than native speakers of BI are. Meaning to say that most speakers of BK can speak BI but not vice versa.

In the situation of language contact, it is rarely found that both languages are in the same position. Most of the time, one language is at a higher level than the other. BI, in its spread across the regions, is also positioned at a high level. Some studies such as Munandar (2016) stated that compared to Javanese, BI has a higher political position, thus placing

Javanese in an inferior position. Suparsa & Arka (2009) also stated that the Rongga language as a minority (ethnic) language is in a lower position than BI which makes the contact that occurs unequal.

The result of this unequal contact is that the low-level languages tend to absorb a lot of elements of other languages (the high-level) without contributing back to other languages that come into contact with it (Suparsa & Arka, 2009). This fact is also true for the language contact situation in other parts of the world. Such as nowadays, many English words are absorbed into other languages, or in the past, the English language took a huge number of words from Latin.

This research will study the opposite facts of the above phenomenon. This study focuses on the contribution of BK (as the non-dominant language) to BI as the dominant language in higher positions. This study will explore the use of affix *ta-* in the use of non-standard BI in Waingapu, the main city of Sumba Timur. It is the opposite fact since, although in a very limited number, there is an element of BK that is absorbed by the use of BI. For additional information, there are also elements from other local languages in Indonesia that are officially taken into BI and included in the dictionary, such as words from Javanese (*emban*, *cantel*) and Sundanese (*nyeri*, *sawer*). However, until present day, there are no words taken from BK into BI.

Budiwiyanto (2022) stated that only around 3,99% of regional languages contribute to the total 90,049 entries of the BI dictionary. This amount is very small; none of these words come from Kampera. Several factors influenced this situation, such as the low frequency of the use of regional language vocabulary by journalists in the mass media, by writers in their essays, and by public figures, and the availability of new concepts in regional language vocabulary that are not owned by Indonesian.

Seifart (2015) explained that there are two hypothetical scenarios for borrowing, indirect borrowing and direct borrowing. The former refers to the situation where R language borrows a number of complex

loanwords from D language, including an affix) and then these complex loanwords come to be analyzed within the recipient (R) language, and eventually, the affix becomes productively used on native stems. The latter is when an affix, for example, is recognized by speakers of the recipient (R) language in their knowledge of the donor (D) language and applies the affix on native stems as soon as it is borrowed, with no intermediate phase.

The basic difference between these two types is where the speakers take the affix (for example) before they use it on native stems. If they take it from complex loanwords in the recipient language, it is called indirect borrowing. If they take it from their knowledge of the donor language, it is a direct borrowing (Seifart, 2015).

Although it seems that words are the most borrowed items, all aspects of language structure are subject to transfer between languages (Aikhenvald, 2006; Thomason, 2001). For instance, Asia Minor Greek has a great many borrowings from Turkish at all levels of its structure. Affixes are one of the language aspects which are rare to exist in the borrowing process. Borrowing affixes may be rare compared to lexical borrowing, but it is not random (Seifart, 2017) with more than 650 borrowed affixes having been studied.

This research will explore the borrowing of the prefix *ta-* from BK to BI. This study is exceptional since the borrowing is from the non-dominant (as donor) language to the dominant language (as the recipient) which has never existed before (there is no data on words absorbed from BK to BI). Besides, most borrowing from local languages in Indonesia to BI are in form of words, not affixes.

The main study of BK referred to in this research is Klammer's (2011). Prefix *ta-* in BK "derives achievement verbs that are non-intentional, involuntary, accidental, sudden, or unexpected" (Klammer, 2011 p. 265). It means that when *ta-* is added as a prefix in front of a certain transitive verb, it will change the verb to be intransitive and at the same time show that something happens non-volitional and unexpectedly. In Klammer's words, they are 'causing an unintended achievement'. The

prefix *ta-* in BK is a derivational affix since it changes the meaning of the verbs it is attached to. Some examples of the use of the prefix *ta-* in BK are in Table 1.

Table 1. Examples of the Use of the Prefix *Ta-* in BK

Transitive Verbs	Meaning	Intransitive verbs	Meaning
bungguh	to open	tabungguh	be opened
lunggur	to rub/ scrape	talunggur	be scraped
binu	to peel	tabinu	be peeled
mbutuh	to slip off	tambutuh	be slipped off

- (1) a. ***Lunggur*** *nanya na ihi na*
He is scratching his body.
b. *Nanjoru nun ana hapi, **talunggur***
hala na ihi na
(Because) the cow fell, its body was completely scraped.

Taken from Klammer (2011)

In these examples, it seems that the meaning of the derived verbs is passive. However, they are not. In sentence (1a), the transitive present progressive verb *is scratching* (*lunggur*) is done by the agent, the subject 'he' (*nanya*), and has the object 'his body' (*na ihi na*). In (1b), the derived form *talunggur* is used to indicate the accidental achievement of a state. For (1b), the subject of the derived verb is not an agent. "Because there is no agent implied in the derived verbs, they cannot be considered passive that is regularly derived from transitive verbs" (Klammer, 2011 p. 266). It will be the same for all the derived forms of intransitive verbs with the prefix *ta-*. The derived verbs express an achieved state and no agent is implied in the achievement. They are the results of something that happens accidentally or unintentionally.

This research is aimed at exploring the use of affix *ta-* in the use of non-standard BI in Waingapu as the result of borrowing from BK to BI, from the non-dominant (as donor) language to the dominant language (as recipient). This research studies the borrowing mechanism and the functions of affix *ta-* in the non-standard BI.

Methodology

This study is a descriptive qualitative study that will elaborate the phenomena of affix borrowing from BK to BI, particularly on the use of the non-standard BI in Waingapu, the capital city of Sumba Timur. By applying morphology approach, this study is aimed at understanding the processes of the use of the prefix *ta-* in forming new words in non-standard use of BI.

In linguistics, morphology refers to the internal system or structure of words and how they are formed (Aronoff & Fudeman, 2011). Morphology studies patterns of word formation and attempts to formulate rules that model the knowledge of the speakers of those languages. It is one of the fundamental aspects of language research that focuses in identifying and analyzing the structure of words and other lingual units such as affixes (Muhammad, 2016).

This research is carried out based on derivational morphology, which is a type of word formation that creates new words by changing the meaning or the part of speech of a base or root word. It often involves the addition of a prefix, suffix, or infix to the base or root word. Booij (2007) explains that the basic function of derivational processes is to enable the language user to make new lexemes. Lexemes belong to lexical categories such as N, V, and A and the derived lexemes may belong to a different category than their bases.

Data provision is carried out through recording and notetaking techniques. Data taken are in the form of actual speech events (utterances) used by the speakers. From this stage, number of sentences were collected. The sentences taken as data are those including the use of affix *-ta*.

Data analysis is performed using the distributional method. Joseph (2021) mentions that distributional method is used to determine the structure of a language based on the distribution of its forms alone. In this stage, using the direct element categorization technique, the data collected will be segmented into several units or segments

which are considered as constituents which form the lingual unit. The words which have the prefix *ta-* attached to them will be divided (the bases and the prefix) and categorized based on their classes of words and their parts of speech in the sentences. The analysis is also applied on the meaning of the words and the sentences.

Results and Discussion

The result of this study is a list of words in the non-standard BI using the prefix *ta-*. There are 20 words obtained from the actual utterances collected through data provision (see Appendix). These results are intransitive verbs of BI which have the prefix *ta-* attached in front of them.

Table 2. Data of Words Using the Prefix *Ta-* in non-standard BI

Taangkat	Tapatah
Tabakar	Tapencet
Tabuang	Tapisah
Tacabut	Taputus
Tageser	Tarobek
Tainjak	Tasiram
Tajepit	Tatancap
Takupas	Tatarik
Talepas	Tatikam
Tamasuk	Tatumpah

As it was mentioned before, when the prefix *ta-* is added in front of the verb, the derived verbs express an accomplished condition. In all sentences, there is no agent implied in the achievement. The subject in each sentence is not the agent who does the action. Following are some explanations regarding the examples.

- (2) *Dia punya baju **taangkat** di bagian belakang.*
Her blouse is lifted in the back.

In sentence (2), the intransitive verb *taangkat* is derived from BI transitive verb *angkat* which means to lift or to raise something from a lower to a higher position. When the prefix *ta-* is added, the word carries the meaning that the blouse is lifted

unintentionally, might because of the wind or the blouse is too short.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (3) | <i>Kenapa ko punya muka tabakar?</i>
<hr/> Why is your face <u>burnt</u> ? |
|-----|--|

In (3), the word *tabakar* comes from the verb *bakar* in BI and is added with the prefix *ta-*. The base, *bakar*, has the meaning of transforming something by exposure to heat or fire. When *ta-* is added, the process accidentally happens, not deliberately done. In (3), the face is unintentionally burning, most probably by sunshine.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (4) | <i>Makanan yang dari pesta banyak tabuang percuma.</i>
<hr/> A lot of food from the party <u>is wasted</u> . |
|-----|--|

The intransitive verb *tabuang* is derived from BI transitive verb *buang* which means to release (or to throw) something that is no longer useful on purpose. When *ta-* is added, the meaning somehow changes into the condition of something which is thrown away unintentionally, physically or not. In (4), the meaning is specific to the food which is wasted unintentionally (must be thrown away). Probably, because there is too much food.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (5) | <i>Dem kaki masih sakit tainjak waktu main bola.</i>
<hr/> His leg still hurts (from being) <u>stepped on</u> when playing football. |
|-----|--|

In sentence (5), the derived intransitive verb *tainjak* has the base verb *injak* in BI which means to put foot (on the ground, surface, etc.). When the prefix *ta-* is added, the action of putting the foot on the ground or on a surface becomes undeliberately done. As in the sentence, the derived form *tainjak* is indicating the accidental achievement of a state, that the subject's (non-agent) leg was stepped on (by actors who are not informed in the sentence, most probably other players).

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (6) | <i>Sa punya pakean kotor ada tasiram saja di kamar na.</i>
<hr/> My dirty clothes just <u>scattered</u> in the room. |
|-----|--|

Sentence (6) has the derived intransitive verb *tasiram* which has the base form *siram*, a verb from BI. *Siram* means pouring water or other things on something, for example in the action of watering the flowers. When the prefix *ta-* is added, the action becomes unintentionally achieved. It shows that the pouring process is not done on purpose. In the sentence, the meaning is somehow widened, where the verb *tasiram* indicates the achieved state of the dirty clothes that are scattered in the room.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (7) | <i>Pel dulu itu lantai, ada sirup tatumpah tadi.</i>
<hr/> Mop the floor first, there was syrup spilled earlier. |
|-----|--|

In sentence (7), the verb *tatumpah* comes from the intransitive verb *tumpah* in BI which means that something is pouring out of its place (liquid, loose objects, etc.). By adding the prefix *ta-*, it indicates that the syrup is not deliberately poured out on the floor. It shows that the syrup is spilled unintentionally.

From the results above, it can be seen that the prefix *ta-* plays the exact function in BI as it does in BK. The sentences above show that when *ta-* is used, it derives an intransitive verb which is unintentionally or not deliberately accomplished. As Klamer (2011) mentions, the non-intentional, involuntary, accidental, or unexpected verbs.

The prefix *ta-* is used to derive the agentless intransitive achievement verbs. The subjects of the verbs are never the agent, they are the patients. It is why they are considered semantic ergatives, unaccusatives, or anticausative (Klamer, 2011). They are ergative because basically, they have a subject that can be used as a subject for intransitive verbs, as well as the direct object for transitive verbs. For example, the sentence *kenapa ko punya muka tabakar?* has the subject *ko punya muka* (your face), which can be used as the subject of intransitive verb or the direct object for transitive structure (i.e. *matahari bakar ko punya muka* in non-standar BI or *matahari membakar wajahmu* in standard BI).

They are unaccusatives because the grammatical subject of the intransitive verb is

not a semantic agent, which is not responsible for the action expressed by the verb. For example, in the sentence *Karena hujan deras, itu akar pohon tacabut dari tanah*, the subject is *itu akar pohon* (the tree root) and the verb is *tacabut*. The subject here is not accountable to cause the tree root to be uprooted. Instead, the action *tacabut* (unintentionally uprooted) is something that happens to the subject. Another example is *itu tongkat tapatah bagi dua*. The subject is *itu tongkat* (the stick) and the verb is *tapatah*. The action *tapatah* (accidentally broken) is something that happens to the subject, not caused by the subject.

They are anticausative since the intransitive verbs show the actions/events which affect the subject, without giving no semantic or syntactic indication of the cause of the event. As in unaccusatives, the subject is the patient. For example, in sentence *sinyal di sini biasa taputus-putus*, the subject *sinyal di sini* (the signal here) is the patient of the event *taputus-putus* (happening intermittently because lacking in steadiness). Another example is in *pel dulu itu lantai, ada sirup tatumpah tadi*. The subject *sirup* (syrup) is the patient. The derived verb *tatumpah* is something that happens to the subject, not by the subject. In these sentences, it seems impossible to refer to the cause of the event directly since the structure does not provide it.

The borrowing of the prefix *ta-* from BK to (the use of non-standar) BI also shows another fact. The mechanism used is direct borrowing. As it was mentioned earlier, there are two types of borrowing, indirect and direct borrowing. When the speakers take an affix (for example) before they use it on native stems from complex loanwords in the recipient language, it is called indirect borrowing. If they take it from their knowledge of the donor language, it is a direct borrowing (Seifart, 2015). Since there is no history of massive borrowing from BK to BI in terms of words, for example, and other elements, then it is impossible to conclude that this borrowing comes from a complex loanword of BK in BI. This borrowing of the prefix *ta-* simply occurs because the speakers of the non-standard BI, who are also the speakers of BK (fluent or not), have the knowledge of the function of the

prefix *ta-* and borrow it to form the agentless intransitive achievement verbs. Knowledge is the essential condition for direct borrowing because when such knowledge is absent, the only way to get an affix is from complex loanwords (Seifart, 2015).

Winford (2005) has explained direct affix borrowing usually occurs in bilingual speech groups where, most probably, the speakers of the donor language are also the recipient language speakers. This is in line with the case in question, where the speakers of BK are also the speakers of BI. The speakers create hybrid formations first by using donor-language affixes with recipient language stems while speaking the donor language (Seifart, 2015) and it becomes something that is practical to use and be applied in the recipient language use.

In her study, Klammer (2011) stated that the use of the prefix *ta-* derives an intransitive verb from a transitive root. It means that *ta-* is attached to transitive verbs and the derivation form is intransitive verbs. It is also the same case found in this study that in most examples, the roots are transitive verbs and then derive intransitive verbs. For example, *taangkat* has the transitive root *angkat* and when *ta-* is added, the derived form is the intransitive verb. The derived intransitive verb *tacabut* also has the transitive root *cabut*.

However, this study also shows a wider choice of roots and derived forms in this borrowing. For example, the intransitive verb *tarobek* has an adjective root *robek*. This word can be added with the prefix *me-* in BI to derive the transitive verb *merobek*. It should be noted that in the non-standard use of BI, the use of formal affixes is often skipped by the speakers. They may say *dia merobek buku itu* (he tore up the book) in formal BI, and *dia robek itu buku* in non-standard BI. Meaning to say that, whether it is realized or not, the speakers choose different roots to add to the prefix.

Another important note of this study is about the derivation form after the prefix *ta-* is added. Although it is only found in one case, it should be explained here as linguistic evidence. In the case of *tarobek*, in the sentence *dia punya celana tarobek, jadi kami semua*

tertawa, the subject is *dia punya celana* (his pants) and the derived intransitive verb is *tarobek* (accidentally broken). When the verb *tarobek* can also be used in different structures such as in the following sentence.

- | | |
|-----|--|
| (8) | <i>Sa lihat dia pakai baju tarobek pigi toko</i>
<hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 5px 0;"/> I saw him wearing a torn shirt in the shop |
|-----|--|

In this example, the derived form *tarobek* is not an intransitive verb anymore in the sentence. This sentence is a compound sentence which consists of two clauses, *sa lihat dia* (I saw him) and *dia pakai baju tarobek pigi toko* (he wore a torn shirt in the shop). In the second clause, the derived form *tarobek* is used in a noun phrase *baju tarobek* (torn shirt). It means that, in this case, the derived form can be acting as adjective and modify the noun.

The last important note on this borrowing is about the connection of the prefix *ter-* of BI with the prefix *ta-* in question. In BI, there are various affixes, ranging from prefixes, infixes, suffixes, and confixes or ambifixes. One of them is the prefix *ter-*. In BK, as it is the main topic in this study, there is the prefix *ta-*. In BI, the prefix *ter-* functions to derive verbs that express things that are already happened or achieved, among them, accidentally and suddenly. It in fact has the same function as the prefix *ta-* in Kambara.

It may raise a question on these two prefixes' relationship. First, the non-standard use of BI in most eastern Indonesia areas is characterized by elision of sounds. Elision (or deletion) is a term used in phonetics and phonology to refer to the omission of sounds in connected speech, where both consonants and vowels may be affected (Crystal, 2008). For example, in Waingapu (and other areas in Sumba), the pronoun *saya* is changed into *sa* where the syllable *ya* is elided. Malo (2021) studied syllable elision in almost all pronouns, both as subject and object in a sentence, such as *sa* from the pronoun *saya* and *ko* from the pronoun *kau*. This elision, combined with other processes, also leads to the creation of new words that function as possessive pronouns as in *dia punya* becomes *de pung* and later changed to *dem* to denote his/her.

Besides, pronouns, other word classes such as verbs are also prone to elision. For instance, the verb *pergi* becomes *pi* or *pigi* and the adverb *sudah* becomes *su*. Does the use of prefix *ta-* in the non-standard BI in Waingapu a result of borrowing from BK or a result of the elision of *ter-* to *ta-*?

The answer is these two mechanisms might both have happened. With a tendency of the speakers (mostly in eastern Indonesia) to speak fast at a rapid speed and simplify words and phrases to ease pronunciation and meet their needs for easy and fast conversation (Malo, 2021), then the prefix *ta-* might be also a part of elision of the prefix *ter-* of BI. At the same time, the speakers find the easiest mechanism from BK, then the prefix *ta-* is chosen. It should be noted that, since there is no clear pattern yet on the elision, then the most probable cause of the use of the prefix *ta-* is borrowing from BK.

Conclusion

From the analysis, there are some conclusions that can be inferred. First, the prefix *ta-* from BK is used in the non-standard use of BI in Waingapu through the borrowing process. This is the first borrowed element of BK found in BI, particularly in the non-standard use. The prefix *ta-* is used to derive the agentless intransitive achievement verbs. The subjects of the verbs are never the agent, they are the patients and they are considered as semantic ergatives, unaccusatives, or anticausative verbs. This use in BI is exactly the same function that this prefix has in BK.

Second, the prefix *ta-* is attached directly to the roots. The roots mostly are transitive and intransitive verbs, as well as adjectives. The derived forms after *ta-* is attached are mostly intransitive verbs and limited adjectives (used as modifiers in noun phrases).

Third, the prefix *ta-* is borrowed through direct borrowing which relies on the knowledge of the speakers (most probably the bilinguals who speak both BK and BI) who create the hybrid forms of the prefix *ta-* and the roots. The borrowing occurred in the past when native speakers of Kambara were insisted on using bahasa Indonesia as the

formal language. Along with the elision issue explained above, the speakers borrowed the prefix *ta-* from BK and started using it with BI. The practical use of the prefix *ta-* could be the most prominent reason why this phenomenon happened. Although Klamer (2011) claimed it to be one of the unproductive affixes in BK, *ta-* somehow became productive based on this situation.

Acknowledgment

This research is presented in International Conference of Local Languages (ICLL) 2023 organized by Local Language Researcher Association (APBL) Indonesia on February 17 – 18, 2023 in Denpasar, Bali.

References

- Aikhenvald, A. Y. (2006). Grammars in Contact: A Cross-Linguistic Perspective. In A. Y. Aikhenvald & R. M. W. Dixon (Eds.), *Grammars in Contact: A Cross-Linguistic Typology* (pp. 1–66). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Aronoff, M., & Fudeman, K. (2011). *What is Morphology?* (2nd ed.). West Sussex: Blackwell Publishing.
- Booij, G. (2007). *The Grammar of Words: An Introduction to Morphology, 2nd edition* (2nd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Budiwiyanto, A. (2022). Kontribusi Kosakata Bahasa Daerah dalam Bahasa Indonesia. Retrieved from Badan Pengembangan dan Pembinaan Bahasa website: <https://badanbahasa.kemdikbud.go.id/artikel-detail/792/kontribusi-kosakata-bahasa-daerah-dalam-bahasa-indonesia>
- Crystal, D. (2008). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics* (6th ed.). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.2307/330198>
- Joseph, J. (2021). Distributional Method. In J. Stanlaw (Ed.), *The International Encyclopedia of Linguistic Anthropology*. Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786093.iela0105>
- Klamer, M. (2005). Kambera. In A. Adelaar & N. P. Himmelmann (Eds.), *The Austronesian Languages of Asia and Madagascar* (pp. 709–734). London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.1360/zd-2013-43-6-1064>
- Klamer, M. (2011). A grammar of Kambera. In G. Bosson & B. Comrie (Eds.), *A Grammar of Kambera*. Berlin: Mouton Grammar Library. <https://doi.org/10.2307/417682>
- Malo, R. M. I. (2021). Pronoun Syllable Elision in Bahasa Indonesia : Case of Casual Speech in Waingapu. *International Seminar on Austronesian Languages and Literature IX*, (September), 416–422. Denpasar: Udayana University. Retrieved from <https://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/isall/article/view/80409>
- Muhammad. (2016). *Metode Penelitian Bahasa*. Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzzmedia.
- Munandar, A. (2016). Pemakaian Bahasa Jawa dalam Situasi Kontak Bahasa di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. *Humaniora*, 25(1), 92–102. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22146/jh.1819>
- Seifart, F. (2015). Direct and Indirect Affix Borrowing. *Language*, 91(3), 511–532. <https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.2015.0044>
- Seifart, F. (2017). Patterns of Affix Borrowing in a Sample of 100 Languages. *Journal of Historical Linguistics*, 7(3), 389–431. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jhl.16002.sei>
- Suparsa, I. N., & Arka, I. W. (2009). Diglosia, Kontak Bahasa, dan Bahasa Minoritas: Kata Serapan Dalam Bahasa Rongga. *Linguistik Indonesia*, 27(2), 131–143. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/1885/36234>
- Thomason, S. G. (2001). *Language Contact*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.53016-5>
- Winford, D. (2005). Contact-Induced Changes: Classification and Processes. *Diachronica*, 22(2), 373–427. <https://doi.org/10.1075/dia.22.2.05win>

Appendix

Table 3. Sentences in non-standard BI

(1)	<i>Dia punya baju taangkat di bagian belakang.</i>
	Her blouse <u>is lifted</u> in the back.
(2)	<i>Kenapa ko punya muka tabakar?</i>
	Why is your face <u>burning</u> ?
(3)	<i>Makanan yang dari pesta banyak tabuang percuma.</i>
	A lot of food from the party <u>is wasted</u> .
(4)	<i>Karena hujan deras, itu akar pohon tacabut dari tanah.</i>
	Because of the heavy rains, the tree roots <u>have been uprooted</u> from the ground.
(5)	<i>Gara-gara politik akhirnya tageser dari dia punya jabatan.</i>
	Because of politics, he <u>is removed</u> from his position.
(6)	<i>Dem kaki masih sakit tainjak waktu main bola.</i>
	His leg still hurts (from being) <u>stepped on</u> when playing football.
(7)	<i>Itu anak punya tangan tajepit di jendela.</i>
	That kid's hand <u>is caught</u> in the window.
(8)	<i>Dia punya kulit takupas karena tabakar matahari.</i>
	Her skin <u>is peeling</u> from the sunburn.
(9)	<i>Itu kuda talepas sudah karena tidak ikat baik-baik.</i>
	The horse <u>got loose</u> because it was not tied up properly.
(10)	<i>Ternyata kau punya kunci ada tamasuk di saya pung tas.</i>
	It turns out your key <u>is in</u> my bag.
(11)	<i>Itu tongkat tapatah bagi dua.</i>
	The stick <u>was broken</u> in two.
(12)	<i>Tidak sengaja tapencet ko punya nama. Sa tidak maksud telpon sama kau.</i>
	(I) accidentally <u>pressed</u> your name (on my phone). I didn't mean to call you.
(13)	<i>Dorang memang sudah tapisah dari kecil.</i>
	They <u>had been separated</u> since they were little.
(14)	<i>Sinyal di sini biasa taputus-putus.</i>

	The signal here is usually <u>intermittent</u> .
(15)	<i>Dia punya celana tarobek, jadi kami semua tertawa.</i>
	His pants <u>were torn</u> , so we laughed.
(16)	<i>Sa punya pakean kotor ada tasiram saja di kamar na.</i>
	My dirty clothes just <u>scattered</u> in the room.
(17)	<i>Dem parang ada tatancap di pohon pisang padahal.</i>
	His machete <u>stuck</u> in a banana tree though.
(18)	<i>Sa punya kaki kek tatarik waktu tidur, sakit sekali.</i>
	My leg seems to <u>be pulled</u> (leg cramp) when I slept, it hurts a lot.
(19)	<i>Aduh, dia tatikam na!</i>
	Ouch, he was stabbed!
(20)	<i>Pel dulu itu lantai, ada sirup tatumpah tadi.</i>
	Mop the floor now, there was syrup <u>spilled</u> earlier.