Optimization of Naringenin Self-Nano Emulsifying Drug Delivery System (SNEDDS) Formula with D-optimal Mixture Design Method

Gendis Purno Yudanti*, Ilham Kuncahya2, Endang Diyah Ikasari3

1Faculty of Pharmacy, Health Technology Institute Cendekia Utama Kudus, Jl. Lingkar Timur KM 5 Jepang Kudus, Central Java, Indonesia
2Faculty of Pharmacy, University Setiabudi Surakarta, Jl. Letjen Sutoyo, Mojosomgo, Jebres, Surakarta, 57127, Central Java, Indonesia
3Faculty of Pharmacy, Sekolah Tinggi Farmasi Yayasan Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Farmasi, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

https://doi.org/10.24071/jpsc.004319

ABSTRACT

Received: 28-01-2022
Revised: 11-08-2023
Accepted: 12-08-2023

This study aimed to optimize and formulate the poorly water-soluble naringenin in the Self-Nano Emulsifying Drug Delivery System (SNEDDS) using D-optimal mixture design. D-optimal mixture design was used to optimize SNEDDS loading naringenin by selecting SNEDDS composition as an independent factor and SNEDDS characterization as a response. SNEDDS in the optimal formula were characterized, including transmittance, particle size, emulsification time, and drug loading. Triacetin, Tween 80, and transcutol p were respectively the selected oil, surfactant, and co-surfactant phases for their greatest ability to dissolve naringenin. The optimization results showed that the optimal formula was that using 10% triacetin, 70% of Tween 80, and 20% of transcutol p. SNEDDS loading naringenin produced nanoemulsion with 88.74±2.27 % of transmittance, 14.8 nm of particle size, 51.13 ± 4.53 mg/L of drug loading, and 18.58 ± 0.62 second of emulsification time. This study concludes that the D-optimal mixture design can be used to optimize and prepare the SNEDDS loading poorly-water soluble naringenin.

INTRODUCTION

Naringenin is a citrus flavanone that is abundant in fruits such as grapes, grapefruit, blood oranges, lemons, pamelos and tamarinds. Naringenin has been reported to have several effects on biological systems such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antifibrogenic and antiatherogenic activities. However, other studies have found that administration of this drug is hampered by its extreme water insolubility and low bioavailability (Khan et al., 2015). A recent study revealed that single doses of naringenin at 150, 300, 600 and 900 mg were safe and well-tolerated in humans. At these doses, the metabolite naringenin appears in the circulation and disappears after 24 hours (Rebello et al., 2020).

Recently, lipid-based formulations have emerged as the best and effective solution for delivering low solubility drugs, among others. The Self-Nano Emulsifying Drug Delivery System (SNEDDS) has proven to be a promising technology to increase the systemic bioavailability of drugs with low water solubility or phytoconstituents. SNEDDS is an isotropic mixture of an active substance, oil, surfactant and usually one or more hydrophilic cosolvents or coemulsifiers which come into contact with an aqueous medium by light shaking, forming a fine and optically clear nanoemulsion with droplet sizes ranging from 20 to 200 nm (Avachat & Patel, 2015). SNEDDS is based on the phenomenon of self-emulsification and contains more surfactant or hydrophilic cosurfactant, and contains lower fat. SNEDDS can be defined as an isotropic mixture of oils, surfactants, cosurfactants and drugs. When this mixture is dissolved in an aqueous solution in vivo, a fine and optically clear...
O/W nanoemulsion is formed, assisted by light shaking by motility movements in the stomach and intestines (Kumar et al., 2019). SNEDDS has advantages such as the ability to accelerate the dissolution time of lipophilic compounds, reduce the first pass effect and increase absorption (Kyatanwar et al., 2010). SNEDDS also has the disadvantage that the simple method of degrading the active ingredient does not work sometimes because the formulation depends on digestion before the active ingredient is released. The chemical instability of the drug and the high concentration of surfactant in the formulation (about 30-60%) can also irritate the gastrointestinal tract (Daga et al., 2012).

The maximum solubility of the drug in the oil phase is very important to keep the drug in the dissolved form and prevent the deposition of the drug during dissolution in the intestinal lumen. The higher the solubility of the drug in oil, it is certain that the smaller the amount of oil used in the formulation and consequently the smaller the amount of surfactant and cosurfactant needed to emulsify the drug in oil droplets. It has been observed that the solubility of naringenin is higher in semi-synthetic carrier oils than in natural oils. (Khan et al., 2015).

Nonionic surfactants are generally considered safer than ionic surfactants and are generally acceptable for oral administration (Nazzal et al., 2002). Surfactant is the main component that can determine the self-emulsification mechanism and droplet size. Co-surfactant works as a solubilizing agent for drugs in oil and is able to help surfactants to stabilize oil dispersion. Failure to select the appropriate oil, surfactant and co-surfactant can lead to errors in the SNEDDS formulation (Kuncahyo et al., 2019).

The use of Tween 80 is widely used in research on oil/water (o/w) type SNEDDS formulations to increase the solubility of medicinal ingredients that have poor water solubility such as mfenamic acid (Syukri et al., 2020), atorvastatin (Hashem et al., 2015), insulin (Winarti et al., 2018), and pitavastatin (Kuncahyo et al., 2019). Also, one study (Priani et al., 2017) with the drug model glimepiride using surfactant tween 80 and Transcutol as co-surfactants showed that the SNEDDS preparation was proven to increase the dissolution of the active substance.

The formula is determined using Design Expert software by selecting the D-optimal mixture design. The independent variables were included along with the upper and lower limits of each variable, namely oil (10 – 30%), surfactant (50 – 80%) and co-surfactant (10 – 30%) (Syukri et al., 2020). D – optimal mixture design is used for formula optimization with different concentrations of components used. The D – optimal Mixture Design method has advantages compared to other optimization programs, namely it can automatically display the number of formulations in accordance with predetermined limits. D – optimal Mixture Design will provide variations in the concentration of each ingredient with a predetermined number of formulas making it easier for researchers to make formulas (Wolfe et al., 2017). The critical parameters that were observed to see the success of the SNEDDS formula were the emulsification time, drug loading, percent of transmittance and particle size parameters.

**METHODS**

**Materials and Instrument**

The tools used are analytical balance (Mettler Toledo ML 204T/00), measuring cup (Pyrex), volumetric flask (Pyrex), beaker glass (Pyrex), micropipette (Dragon LAB NESCO), cuvette, hot plate magnetic stirrer SH-3, ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrophotometer Biobase bk-d580, Particle Sized Analyzer (PSA) Horiba PZ-100, ultrasonicator RS19000, 10 mL glass vial Pyrex, type 2 USP dissolution tool 1 chamber, centrifuge. The materials used were naringenin, 96% ethanol (Bratachem), triacetin (Bratachem), tween 80 (Bratachem), transcutol P, distilled water, and methanol PA 0.1 N HCl (Merck).

**Preparation of Naringenin SNEDDS Using D-optimal Mixture Design**

The SNEDDS formula was made according to the proportions obtained from the software design expert and then adjusted for the manufacture of 10 mL SNEDDS. Parameters ranges for D-optimal mixture design in preparing naringenin SNEDDS were prepared using Design-Expert Version 12.0. Naringenin SNEDDS contains naringenin and excipients: tween 80, triacetin ,and transcutol p. Three independent factors were studied: Comparison of the composition of triacetin = 10 - 30%, tween 80 = 50 - 70 % and transcutol P = 20 - 40 %, Also, four responses were examined: emulsification time, drug loading, the transmittance percentage, and particles size.

**Making SNEDDS Naringenin**

Each component of SNEDDS, namely triacetin, tween 80 and transcutol p were
pipetted according to the calculations in the formula table in D – optimal mixture design for 10 ml of preparation, then mixed using an ultrasonicator for 10 minutes then stirred with a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm to form an isotropic mixture (one phase) are homogeneous. The SNEDDS formed was added with naringenin little by little until the saturated condition was indicated by the presence of turbidity in the SNEDDS. SNEDDS naringenin was saturated and then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 45 minutes. The results of the SNEDDS naringenin supernatant were stored in vials and protected from sun exposure and stored at room temperature. The results of the supernatant were tested for characteristics including emulsification time, drug loading, percent transmittance and measurement of globule size.

**Characterization of SNEDDS naringenin**

**Emulsification Time**
Measuring the emulsification time of SNEDDS naringenin by measuring 100 ml of distilled water in a glass beaker then mixing it using a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm then taking 1 ml of SNEDDS with a micropipette and putting it in distilled water while observing the color change in the emulsion and counting the time until a stable emulsification time is reached with using a stopwatch.

**Drug loading**
To determine drug loading, a UV spectrophotometer was used with p.a methanol blank. First, 1 mL of SNEDDS naringenin was pipetted into a 10 mL volumetric flask using a micropipette, then the volume was added with methanol p.a until the boundary marks were shaken slowly until the solution became clear. Second, read the absorption of the solution with a UV spectrophotometer carefully according to the maximum wavelength of naringenin 299 nm.

Naringenin drug levels were calculated using a linear regression equation on the calibration standard curve.

**The transmittance percentage**
The transmittance percentage was determined using a UV-Visible spectrophotometer with distilled water as a blank. A total of 1 mL of SNEDDS was dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water and then stirred and observed until homogeneous then read the transmittance of the naringenin nanoemulsion using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 650 nm (Sari & Herdiana, 2016).

**Particle size**
Emulsion droplet size was measured by phorocorrelation spectroscopy using a Zetasizer to measure sizes between 10 and 5000 nm. Light scattering was monitored at 25°C and at a 90° angle, using external standardization with polystyrene grains. The nanometric size range of the particles is maintained even up to 100 times diluted with water which proves the compatibility of the system with excess water (Seema & Kumar, 2014).

**Determination of Optimum Formula**
The optimum formula was selected based on the test results of each characteristic. The conditions that must be met from each characteristic are emulsification time or nanoemulsion formation time < 1 minute; The higher the levels of active substance that can be contained in SNEDDS, the formula is considered optimal. The concentration of Naringenin as a free radical scavenger in the FRAP (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power) test is 60 g/mL (Martinez et al., 2016) so that naringenin levels are expected to be close to this figure; when the percentage transmittance value is close to 100%, it means that the level of clarity of SNEDDS is close to that of distilled water and has a nanoemulsion droplet size <100 nm.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Formulation of Naringenin SNEDDS**
The SNEDDS formula consists of three components, namely triacetin, tween 80 and transcutol P, then the SNEDDS formula is determined using the D-optimal mixture design software on Design Expert 12. The three components are included in the mixture component with a quadratic model and four responses are included, namely emulsification time, drug loading, globule size and the transmittance percentage to obtain 16 run formulas. Based on the design of the formula, 10 mL of SNEDDS preparation was made by mixing the three constituent ingredients into a vial and then putting it in an ultrasonicator for 10 minutes which functions to mix and reduce particle size. These waves surround the sample so that cavitation bubbles are generated which cause nano-diameter particles. The homogeneous SNEDDS mixture was then mixed using a magnetic stirrer at room temperature and the speed was kept constant, then naringenin was added until a slightly cloudy SNEDDS was obtained and there was undissolved naringenin, which indicates that the addition of naringenin is saturated.
The saturated SNEDDS preparation was then centrifuged for 45 minutes at 5000 rpm to obtain a clear supernatant free of impurities. The clear supernatant was then tested for SNEDDS characteristics including emulsification time, drug loading, percent transmittance and globule size. In this study, the results of the SNEDDS supernatant were thick, yellow and clear. The results of observations and characterization of the critical parameters of SNEDDS are presented in Table 1.

**Characterization of SNEDDS Naringenin**

**Emulsification time**

Emulsification time is the time required for the preconcentrate to form a homogeneous mixture when diluted. The observations were made by visually observing the loss of SNEDDS and looking at the final appearance of the nanoemulsion. SNEDDS should be completely dispersed and rapidly when diluted with water with light shaking. The selection of oil, surfactant and co-surfactant is very important for the spontaneous emulsification process, since the faster the emulsification time, the higher the absorption of the drug in the gastrointestinal tract. The emulsification time test is conducted using distilled water because it is neutral so it does not affect other components in SNEDDS. The results are used in the formula prediction in determining the optimum formula.

The emulsification time of SNEDDS naringenin when approached with software with D-Optimal mixture design, obtained the following equation:

\[
Y = 6629.20 \times A + 2627.25 \times B + 9501.54 \times C - 17289.65 \times (AB) + 12704.51 \times (AC) - 11852.52 \times (BC)
\]

**Description:**

\[
Y = \text{emulsification time (second)}
\]

\[
A = \text{triacetin}
\]

\[
B = \text{tween 80}
\]

\[
C = \text{transcutol P}
\]

The equation shows that each component has an effect on the emulsification time where the addition of triacetin and transcutol P will increase the emulsification time, while the addition of tween 80 will decrease the emulsification time. The regression coefficient for transcutol P 9501.54 showed the highest value than triacetin and tween 80, which indicated that transcutol P had the greatest effect on emulsification time, namely the greater the composition of transcutol P, the greater the emulsification time. The effect of a co-surfactant depends on its chain length, where only appropriate chain lengths are suitable for good microemulsion formations. Transcutol (medium chain) is the most suitable co-surfactant in combination with the surfactant (Abdul Sisak et al., 2017).

**Table 1. The results of observations and measurements of the SNEDDS formula**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Composition (µm/mL)</th>
<th>Emulsification time (sec)</th>
<th>Drug Loading (%)</th>
<th>Transmittance (%)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.07</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>30.39 ± 0.095</td>
<td>23.84 ± 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58.15 ± 0.254</td>
<td>25.08 ± 0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55.21</td>
<td>34.78</td>
<td>32.26 ± 0.902</td>
<td>29.46 ± 0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.30 ± 0.041</td>
<td>34.46 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15.17 ± 0.047</td>
<td>67.73 ± 0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>40.66 ± 0.336</td>
<td>61.93 ± 0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>56.71</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>50.35 ± 0.186</td>
<td>64.65 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>60.01</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.31 ± 0.216</td>
<td>26.93 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>50.13</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td>80.07 ± 0.457</td>
<td>20.15 ± 0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.07</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>55.87 ± 0.272</td>
<td>19.32 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23.68</td>
<td>53.78</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>40.40 ± 0.060</td>
<td>8.40 ± 0.0404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>60.01</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46.29 ± 0.095</td>
<td>31.95 ± 0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20.52 ± 0.424</td>
<td>42.90 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>56.71</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>49.90 ± 0.403</td>
<td>56.36 ± 0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>50.13</td>
<td>29.85</td>
<td>78.12 ± 0.112</td>
<td>17.55 ± 0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>63.23</td>
<td>23.24</td>
<td>48.20 ± 0.229</td>
<td>50.58 ± 0.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Drug Loading

Drug loading is used to calculate the levels of naringenin in the SNEDDS. Determination of drug loading is used to determine the ability of SNEDDS to dissolve the drug until the SNEDDS is completely saturated and to determine the drug content of the SNEDDS formula (Pratiwi et al., 2018). Measurement of drug loading on naringenin SNEDDS with the aim of introducing the active substance of naringenin to the maximum into the SNEDDS system, therefore the addition of naringenin is done gradually until a saturated solution is obtained with a slightly cloudy SNEDDS visual appearance and there is an insoluble active substance.

Determination of naringenin levels was calculated using the linear regression equation $y = 0.0735x + 0.3698$ where $y$ is the measured sample absorption and $x$ is the value of naringenin levels in ppm. The results of the calculation of naringenin levels are then entered into the software and obtained the following equation:

$$Y = 37794.64 \ (A) + 2208.39 \ (B) - 6935.47 \ (C) - 68.191 \ (AB) - 90536.95 \ (AC) + 6894.46 \ (BC)$$

description:

$Y =$ drug loading (ppm)

$A =$ triacetin

$B =$ tween 80

$C =$ transcutol P

This equation shows that there is a linear relationship between triacetin, tween 80 and the increase in drug loading of naringenin. Triacetin as an oil phase that functions to dissolve naringenin has the main influence in the drug loading process. This equation is also depicted in a three-component contour plot, where there is an interaction between transcutol P, tween 80 and triacetin where there is a red plot in the maximum area of transcutol P and minimal area of tween 80 and triacetin. Enhancers like transcutol P were included in the formulation to facilitate drug diffusion (Leichner et al., 2019).

The three-component contour plot, where there is an interaction between transcutol P, tween 80 and triacetin where there is a red plot in the maximal area of transcutol P and the minimum area of tween 80 and triacetin this illustrates that the greater the value of transcutol P and the smaller the value of tween 80 and triacetin, the drug loading will be maximal can be seen in Figure 1.

The transmittance percentage

The transmittance percentage was observed by looking at the level of clarity of the nanoemulsion, the transmittance percentage of the SNEDDS was stated to meet nanosize consistency if the value was close to the aquadest percent transmittance, namely 100% (Seema & Kumar, 2014). SNEDDS with a transmittance value above 80% will produce clear and transparent nanoemulsions. This relates to the size of the SNEDDS droplets which are dispersed into water with a high transmittance value or close to the transmittance value of 100% aquadest so that it looks optically clear.

The results of determining the percentage of transmittance of SNEDDS naringenin which are entered into the software produce the following equation:

$$Y = - 2201.42 \ (A) + 208.06 \ (B) - 311.57 \ (C) + 2447.13 \ (AB) + 5364.44 \ (AC) - 377.76 \ (BC)$$

description:

$Y =$ Percent transmittance (%)

$A =$ triacetin

$B =$ tween 80

$C =$ transcutol P

The equation can be concluded that triacetin and transcutol P have a negative effect on the transmittance of nanoemulsions, since the more triacetin and transcutol P, the lower the transmittance value. Tween 80 positively affects the clarity of nanoemulsions, because the greater the amount of tween 80 will give the maximum transmittance percentage. In line with Khan et al. (2015) research, there was a significant difference in % transmittance value between the two groups of surfactants (tween 80 and cremophor EL). Formulations containing tween 80 as surfactant showed >90% value and the formula was found to have the highest percentage transmittance.

In the 3-component contour plot image, it can also be observed where there is a red plot in the maximum area of tween 80 which indicates that the greater the value of tween 80 will give the maximum transmittance value. Meanwhile, the blue color in the maximum area of triacetin and transcutol P indicates the greater the value of triacetin and transcutol P, and the transmittance percentage value will be minimal which can be seen in Figure 2.
Particle size.

The average globule size in this study is in the nanometer range, which is <200 nm. The size of the globule produced greatly affects the bioavailability of the drug, because the smaller the size of the globule, the larger the surface area, which accelerates the release of the drug and makes it easier to absorb in the digestive tract. The greater the amount of the oil phase will further increase the size of the globules because the amount of surfactant and cosurfactant is not able to reduce the surface tension in the nanoemulsion system. This can be observed in the equation obtained from the program as follows:

\[
Y = 331.241 \times A + 16.72 \times B + 36.90 \times C - 649.253 \times (AB) - 541.763 \times (AC) + 19042.2 \times (A^2BC) + 2225.52 \times (AB^2C) - 19670.6 \times (ABC^2)
\]

Description:
Y = particle size (nm)
A = triacetin
B = tween 80
C = transcutol P

The contour plot is marked with a blue plot on the tween 80 and transcutol P sides, indicating that the greater the number of tween 80 and transcutol P, then the lower the globule size has been described in Figure 3.

Determination of the Optimum Formula

The optimization of formulas in pharmaceutical preparations including SNEDDS preparations is to determine the variable level of stable and strong products with high quality characteristics that can be produced. Optimization was done by entering the upper and lower limit values for triacetin oil, surfactant tween 80 and cosurfactant transcutol P using Expert Design software and inputting parameters and criteria, which can be seen in Table 2.

Optimization with D-optimal mixture design is then used to determine the optimal formula with predetermined criteria. The optimal formula obtained was then remade with three replications and characterized including emulsification time, drug loading, globule size, percent transmittance, zeta potential and in vitro release test using the dissolution method. The composition of the optimum formula and the results of the characterization of the optimum formula for SNEDDS naringenin can be seen in Table 3.
Table 2. Optimum formula criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triacetin</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 80</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcutol P</td>
<td>In range</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsification time</td>
<td>In target</td>
<td>15.17</td>
<td>80.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug loading</td>
<td>maximize</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>67.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size</td>
<td>Minimize</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>332.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent transmittance</td>
<td>Maximize</td>
<td>25.61</td>
<td>93.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Optimal formula result and predicted value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Triacetin (mg/L)</th>
<th>Tween 80 (%)</th>
<th>Transcutol P (%)</th>
<th>Emulsification time (second)</th>
<th>Drug Loading (mg/L)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>% Transmittance</th>
<th>Desirability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>69.842</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>18.58 ± 0.62</td>
<td>51.13 ± 4.53</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>88.74 ± 2.27</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Contour plot of particle size SNEDDS naringenin

Figure 4. Contour plot of particle size SNEDDS naringenin

Observation and measurement of emulsification time on the optimum formula obtained emulsification time of 18.58 ± 0.62 seconds with a clear and homogeneous visual appearance. From the results of measurements and visual observations of nanoemulsions, it can be concluded that the optimum formula nanoemulsion belongs to grade A (the system forms nanoemulsions quickly in <1 minute with a clear appearance) (Priani et al., 2017).

After visual observations, the percent transmittance was measured to determine the clarity level of the nanoemulsion. In measurements using UV-Vis spectrophotometry, the percentage of transmittance of the optimum formula was 88.74% ± 2.275, which can be concluded that the nanoemulsion has a clarity
level that is close to good clarity because it is >80% (Syukri et al., 2020).

In the measurement of drug loading, the optimum formula with three replications obtained absorption of 0.753, 0.778 and 0.712. Additionally, after being calculated using a linear regression equation, it obtained naringenin levels of 52.13 mg/L; 55.53 mg/L and 46.55 mg/L and the average value of the optimum drug loading formula was 51.41 ± 4.53 mg/L.

The measurement of the globule size of the optimum formula in this study obtained a globule size of 14.8 nm with a polydispersity index of 0.366. This shows that the globule size in the optimum formula has met the criteria for nanoparticle characterization, namely 10-200 nm and the polydispersity index value describes the uniformity of the size of the nanoemulsion which is polydispersed in which the particle size produced is uniform but has various shapes (Pratiwi et al., 2018).

In the overlay plot the optimum formula shows the optimum area which is shown in the grey area. This overlay plot is a combination of contour plots on four critical parameters, namely emulsification time, drug loading, the transmittance percentage and particle size. The red dot in one of the optimum areas is the optimum formula suggested by the Design Expert results from several predictions of the optimum formula in the optimum area, which can be seen in Figure 4.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the research that was conducted, the following conclusions can be drawn: The optimal proportions of triacetin, tween 80 and transcutol P components in the manufacture of naringenin SNEDDS using the D-optimal mixture design method obtained a ratio of triacetin, tween 80, and transcutol P of 10.16 : 69.84 : 20. The results of testing the critical parameters on the optimum formula obtained the value of emulsification time 18.58 ± 0.62 seconds, drug loading 51.13 ± 4.53 mg/L, transmittance percentage 88.74% ± 2.275, and globule size 14.8 nm.
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