USING ONLINE PEER REVIEW AS A STRATEGY TO IMPROVE WRITING SKILLS
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Abstract
This qualitative research was conducted to investigate the use of online peer review as a strategy to improve writing skills in the revision process. Two English students of a private university in Malang were recruited based on two criteria: 1) they have passed an academic writing course with an excellent score, and 2) they use online media to conduct peer reviews. Using a semi-structured interview, the students were asked what types of online resources they use to perform online peer review, and how they do peer review online. The interview data were analyzed using content analysis. To triangulate the data, investigator triangulation was performed by involving two data analysts. The results of the study were that students mostly used WhatsApp and Zoom media to conduct online peer reviews. In addition, they used several platforms such as grammar checkers, online dictionaries to assist the revision process. The students shared their writing product in the form of a file to their peers via WhatsApp and improve the results of the feedback by utilizing online sources or obtaining reviews related to grammar, writing effectiveness, and diction errors.
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Introduction
Writing has been widely thought of as the most difficult to master in English (Watcharapunyawong & Usaha, 2012). Writing proficiency necessitates several complex and diverse stages, requiring pupils to concentrate on “How to Come Up With Ideas, how to arrange them in a logical order, how to take advantage of discourse markers and rhetorical patterns to incorporate them cohesively within a written text, ways to modify text for greater clarity, how to alter text for proper grammar, and how to create a final product” (H. Douglas Brown; Heekyeong Lee, n.d.). Writing, according to Şen & Şimşek (2020), is the most problematic use of English in higher education.

Writing requires some stages to be accomplished. You generate a concept in the first stages, You arrange ideas. In the third stage, you compose a rough draft. And the final stage, You refine your rough draft by editing and revising it. The first stage is known as prewriting. Pre-writing is a technique for generating ideas.
In this step, you select a topic and brainstorm ways for explaining the topic. The following stage in the writing process is to arrange your thoughts into basic outlines. To write a simple outline we must pay attention to the following: Give your outline a title using a related list, Make a sentence for each item on your list, and identify the individual, and Tell us about what he or she has done to make a difference, Finally, write out the primary point. The next stage is to compose a rough draft, use your outline as a guideline. Write your preliminary draft as soon as possible without pausing to reflect regarding grammar, spelling, or punctuation. The final stage is to refine your rough draft by editing and revising. Polishing is most effective when done in two phases. First, address the major concerns of content and organization (revision). Then, focus on the little details like punctuation, grammar, and mechanics (editing). (Oshima & Hogue, 1997). A piece may need to be rewritten several times before reaching the desired writing level Kellogg (2008) because they must consider various components to make the writing intelligible. Furthermore, because they are aware that their work will be seen by others, student writers may put greater attention into early versions (Cho & Schunn, 2007).

To help pupils understand the complexities of those writing processes, long writing tasks should be divided into smaller components, and students should take feedback at all stages of the process (Baker, 2016). Feedback is an essential component of this writing process. It can be offered by the teacher or a peer in its application. Feedback is critical for the growth of pupils' writing, particularly while compiling and revising (Anderson et al., n.d.). It will also require assistance, to instil confidence in students and people are encouraged as they reply and as well as receiving feedback, especially if they believe they are still growing as authors (Aull, 2020).

Students need feedback to be able to understand the mistakes they make. However, providing feedback can be laborious. Peer spend time commenting on technical writing issues, such as sentence structure, word selection, and organization. Such detailed work is time-consuming (Herrington & Cadman, 1991). In addition, students do not respond consistently to feedback and often express a feeling discouraged by comments (Jönsson, 2013). But, writers will get a higher profit from writing feedback than those who don't receive it. This online peer review of students may be an attractive alternative to teacher feedback. Many kinds of the literature suggest that peer review should be part of the feedback process (Althauser & Darnall, 2001). Moreover, peer collaboration is effective in the following aspects Students who work alone are less likely to discover their misunderstandings Markman, (1979) and the contradiction between two very opposite things in the text (Otero & Kintsch, 1992). Researchers consistently state that the feedback process in writing will be able to improve the quality of students in final submissions by involving students (Jensen & Fischer, 2005). Writing researchers emphasize the use of feedback to modify and rewrite to improve writing skills (Schriver, 1989). How they should organize the text and knowledge; And what kind of plan, practice, and arrangement are crucial in the writing process. In this case, the following research questions are raised.

However, COVID-19 requires schools and teachers to adapt to online learning. The use of online peer review as a strategy is then required to assist
students in effectively completing each writing step during the online learning process. As reviewed above, most research focused on the processes of writing: generate a concept, arrange ideas, compose a rough draft, and the final stage, You refine your rough draft by editing and revising it. So far, it is still difficult to find research that specifically reports the use of online peer-review strategies to improve students’ writing skills. Then it becomes a huge opportunity for researchers to respond to this challenge. This current research is trying to investigate the use of online resources to perform peer review and how online peer review is carried out.

Method

Descriptive qualitative was the design used in the current research. This research was conducted at a private university in Malang. Two students were involved as respondents based on their have passed an academic writing course with an excellent score, and they use online media to conduct peer reviews. The selection of the two qualifications is because the author wants to see the process that students do to achieve the desired writing skills, which is implemented through Interview techniques that the researchers did through file-sharing via WhatsApp and conferences at zoom meetings to ask answers that were still lacking. These two groups are university students who have excellent scores and use online media to conduct peer reviews.

Six self-construct interview questions written in the participants’ first language (Indonesian) were prepared. This instrument was translated to make sure clarity and to avoid misunderstanding. The questions explored information about the online resources used and the online peer-review process carried out by participants to improve writing skills. The interview questions were checked and validated by an expert in English language teaching.

Data collection would be asked participants to answer questions that have been made, which have been translated into Indonesian. The questions consist of three questions that would answer the research question, two questions as additional information that the researcher might need later, and one opening question to find out student responses about writing. Then give the participants some rules, indicating that they agree to participate in this research and are willing to participate in some interviews, and continue in several ways. First, the online peer-review process is carefully structured in several ways. To start, students were asked to answer six questions that have been asked. This requirement allows instructors to blind each student's question. in this case, the instructor gives 30-35 minutes to answer questions and This interview was conducted three times to obtain consistent results from the participants. Students with a full concept would comment on the question. Second, score based on students’ performance in peer review. The interview session was conducted via WhatsApp, then would clarify via Zoom to validate the data.

To triangulate the data, the researcher performed triangulation by involving two data analysts of the peer-review comments and modifications made by students. The data was analyzed using content analysis by the methods suggested by (Renz et al., 2018). Conducting content analysis involves the following procedures: (a) data transcription, (b) transcript reading and examination, (c) note
taking and identification, and (d) unit building of analysis process through the use of themes that represent expressions of major concepts or an issue, (e) scheme coding creation, (f) text coding, (g) inferences from coded data, and (h) analysis and explanation of the findings.

Findings and Discussion

Findings

Problem 1: What online resources are used by university students to conduct online peer reviews?

After performing several steps in this analysis: coding the data, organizing the data and themes, and identifying the data from the interviews, the interpretation is presented in the following section. In terms of the online resources, they use WhatsApp applications and Zoom meetings to conduct an online peer review and Google, online grammar checker, online dictionary to facilitate feedback results from the peer-review process.

“...I exchange the results of my answers with my friends with each other online usually via WhatsApp, Zoom meeting to conduct online peer review, and use Google, online grammar checker, online dictionary to help me correct my writing mistakes. …” (student 2)

“...via WhatsApp and if it is not clear, then we do it via zoom and sometimes I use an online dictionary to check the results of my writing.…. ”(student 1)

Not without reason, they use these two applications. This is because both have been widely used by many people and they can do online peer review through this application. in addition, the use of Google, an online grammar checker, the online dictionary will be very helpful for the process of correcting their writing errors. So, they need to have a supporting tool in feedback and revision.

“...I use WhatsApp and Zoom... because they are not only simple to use, but they are also well known by many individuals, thus problems are unusual...” (student 1)

“...I use these two applications since they are basic and straightforward to use... Zoom meetings are also extensively used during a pandemic like this, and for good reason. This is because the share screen button at the bottom allows me to easily share information about various chores and display the results of my writing...” (student 2)

Problem 2: How do University students do online peer review?

In answering the second research question, interview analysis was carried out 3 times to ensure that their answers remained consistent and did not change. So that all the steps that researchers have taken have obtained fixed results. On the whole, student reviewers consistently conduct online peer reviews by sending their files to be corrected via WhatsApp. This method is considered quite effective
because it does not require energy to be able to meet directly with friends who are intended to conduct peer reviews.

"...to go online... I usually send my files directly via WhatsApp..."(student 1)

In addition, student 1 added that in the online peer review process, she used a zoom meeting if she felt that the results obtained were not clear. “...and if it is not clear, then we do it via zoom...”. After that, University students get feedback online related to the content written regarding grammar errors and related to the effectiveness of writing.

“Feedback from my friends after doing an online peer review they gave suggestions regarding the content I wrote by telling them about the use of grammar and also suggestions regarding the effectiveness of writing...”(student 1)

“The feedback I get is advice regarding the grammar mistakes I wrote...” (student 2)

In another feedback, it was found that student 2 got suggestions regarding diction errors that the readers did not understand.

“...they commented on the choice of words (diction) that I used to get more attention so that the writing would be effective and not cause misunderstandings to the readers...”.

To minimize writing errors, they make revisions to the writings that have received feedback from online peer review activities. Revisions are carried out by improving the content related to grammar, diction, and effective use of words with the help of applications (Google, online grammar checker, online dictionary).

“...I make revisions by improving my content by paying attention to the use of correct grammar and reducing words that are not needed in writing by using the application online grammar checker, google, and online dictionary.....” (student 1).

“..The revision that I did was correcting the diction and use online grammar checker, google, and online dictionary applications to correct sentence formation errors. ...” (student 2).

To deal with difficulties in the revision process, they need a long time to be able to make revisions properly and correctly. they reveal the time it takes between 20-35 minutes.

"...It took me 30-35 minutes in this situation.." (Student 1) and “...I need 20-30 minutes.” (student 2).
Discussion

Research has shown that peer review can help improve students’ writing skills, and students can provide high-quality feedback. There is less research on the peer-review process. This research aims to contribute this knowledge gap is reflected in two aspects. First, this research examines online resources for online peer review. These two research uncovered an online peer-review process conducted by university students.

The first finding of a semi-structured interview study revealed that the online resources used by university students to conduct online peer reviews are the WhatsApp application and also the Zoom meeting to get valid results. Peers will find it simpler to perform online evaluations and learn how to offer formative comments with the help of these two tools. The majority of student reviewers can give comments that identify the problem and provide solutions. The fact that the overall quality of student comments is fairly good and concentrated on topics of significance and the point is important since studies have shown that pupils gain equally, it is just as important to give feedback as it is to receive input (Nicol & Milligan, 2006).

Second, from the results of interviews conducted by researchers, most student reviewers can provide comments, point out the problem, and suggest how to solve the problem. Students receive feedback about their participation in the creative process based on the writing content displayed on the page; feedback is given in the comments section of the student page. The students also received feedback on their written products.

The quality of student feedback is it’s usually quite high, and it’s important to focus on questions of meaning and argument because studies have shown that, compared with receiving feedback, students benefit from providing feedback, even more. (Baker, 2016).

Interestingly, In this feedback process, digital resources such as word processing software, Google, online dictionaries, and online grammar checkers are also used to solve language problems such as grammar and mechanics in the revision process. This is important evidence that the integration of technology in writing classes provides promising benefits for students (Hughes et al., 2019). This is following the agreement findings from (Yot-Domínguez & Marcelo, 2017) report that university students usually prefer to use social support. Throughout the writing process, technology can provide scaffolding and assistance. For example, Google, an online grammar checker, the online dictionary can greatly improve revision and even increase students’ enthusiasm for writing (Morphy & Graham, 2012).

In contrast, Using online platforms to give feedback on students’ writing process shows real value digital technology supports students’ participation in the text writing process. The difference between this online formative assessment practice and traditional paper-based teaching lies in its rich "instant and continuous feedback opportunities" (Gikandi et al., 2011). In addition, interview data show that using online space for evaluation can make them feel safe and at ease about the quality of writing and help them stay focused on their work. As a result, the focus of this study was on how peer review could improve students' writing processes. While
specific outcomes were not evaluated in this study, final articles as a whole were successful and indicative of a competent writing process.

Conclusion

Peer review, in essence, aids in the improvement of student performance on certain assignments. Online peer review, as an active engagement strategy, has the potential to boost students' writing skills during this COVID-19 pandemic, when face-to-face activities are extremely difficult to do. So, an online peer review can be carried out using WhatsApp as a file-sharing platform and Zoom meetings as a tool to show each other the results of assignments and confirm feedback findings that may not be easily comprehended. Furthermore, they can use various supporting technologies such as (Google, an online grammar checker, and an online dictionary) to help them modify the writing that has resulted from the feedback. Therefore, online peer review is needed to improve good writing skills. Future research should continue to assess both the procedure and the outcomes to better understand how to conduct an effective online peer-review process. It would be beneficial to learn more about if and how student writers respond to feedback from their peers. One option would be to require students to make a statement describing how they used the comments they received in rewriting their papers.
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